

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.              | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. |              |  |
|------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------|--|
| 09/779,183                   | 02/08/2001      | Eric Ellington -     | OAA-145-A                            | 6456         |  |
| 21828                        | 7590 11/13/2003 | /                    | EXAMINER .                           |              |  |
|                              | LACKMAN AND AS  | SSOCIATES /          | FISCHMANN, BRYAN R                   |              |  |
| 24101 NOVI ROAD<br>SUITE 100 |                 | ļ.,                  | ART UNIT                             | PAPER NUMBER |  |
| NOVI, MI 4                   | 8375            | $\bigvee$            | 3618                                 |              |  |
|                              |                 |                      | DATE MAILED: 11/13/200               | 3            |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | <b>#</b>  |   | $\sim$ $^{\prime}$  |
|--|---|---|---|
|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |   |
| Advisory Action  | 09/779,183  | ELLINGTON, ERIC   |   |
| Auvisory Audion  | Examiner  | Art Unit  |   |
|  | Brian L. Johnson  | 3618  |   |
| TA MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ars on the cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence add   | lress   |
| THE REPLY FILED Oct. 20, '03 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.  | APPLICATION IN CONDITION void abandonment of this applical at timely filed amendment which  | FOR ALLOWANCE<br>ation. A proper repl<br>or places the applica  | E.<br>y to a<br>ation in  |
| PERIOD FOR RE  | EPLY [check either a) or b)]  |   |   |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the main | g date of the final rejecting HE FINAL REJECTION.  R 1.136(a) and the approper that the fee. The apporting of the fee. The apporting in the final | ion. See MPEP ropriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR   | s Brief must be filed within the pe<br>R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o  | eriod set forth in f the appeal.  |   |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be  | ecause:   |   |   |
| (a)  they raise new issues that would require further  | er consideration and/or search (  | see NOTE below);  |   |
| (b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b  | pelow);   |   |   |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application is issues for appeal; and/or  | n better form for appeal by mate  | rially reducing or si   | mplifying the   |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceli   | ing a corresponding number of f   | inally rejected claim   | ıs.   |
| NOTE:  |   | •   |   |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection  | tion(s): <u>35 USC 101 and 112, 2n</u>  | <u>ıd</u> .   |   |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  | be allowable if submitted in a se   | eparate, timely filed   | amendment   |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:   |   | idered but does NO  | T place the   |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  | ause it is not directed SOLELY t  | to issues which wer   | e newly   |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we  |   |   | and an  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:   |   |   |   |
| Claim(s) allowed:  |   |   |   |
| Claim(s) objected to:  |   |   |   |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9, 11-14, 16, &amp; 18-24</u> .  |   |   |   |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |   |   |   |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

10. Other: \_\_\_\_

8. The drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)